

#50

December 2000

Contents

2 Australia: One hundred years of a reactionary constitution.

3 AMWU Reactionary protectionist campaign for Aussie jobs

5 Spartacist and September 11 WEF protest

6 Fifty issues of RED. A balance sheet

### **SMASH ISRAEL**

Every day in Palestine we learn of new killings. Youths armed with sticks and stones fight heroically against an Israeli state which is armed to the teeth. The Israeli state cries blue murder when Israelis are killed but believes it has the divine right to kill Palestinians.

Recently there has been much talk about peace. Yassar Arafat wants it. Barak wants it (but only on his terms). Clinton has tried to act as broker. He failed. Now Arafat is looking to the Russians to broker an agreement. This will fail also. Meanwhile the Palestinians fight on. Understandably they don't want to be humiliated.

In Israel Barak is putting Israel on a war footing. He has proposed a national front to govern Israel including hard core Zionist hawks. Barak was elected on a peace platform. But when it comes to the crunch — Israel comes first. Now he is calling a new election. He considers that he has made too many concessions to the Palestinians.

The fact remains that the material basis for a just peace simply do not exist. As long as Israel exists by the exploitation of the Palestinians — and by forcing them into the desert — then the war will go on. The current Palestinian ministate is a minor victory. But it is not a solution.

Israel was created by Imperialism in 1947 supposedly as a solution to the Jewish question. Virtually every year since it has been at war with one of its neighbours and/or the Palestinians.

When Israel was established, Trotskyists warned that it was a death trap for the Jewish people. However, armed to the teeth, backed by imperialism, Israel has survived. The united Arab front against Israel to defend the Palestinians simply hasn't eventuated. Israel has used force, manipulation and diplomacy to isolate the Arab regimes from each other and to sell out the Palestinians. Of course it has made use of reactionaries such as Egypt's President Sadat and Phalangists in Lebanon.

Israel has been backed to the hilt by US and British imperialisms who have financed its massive arsenal which includes nuclear weapons. When the imperialists prattle about "human rights" Israel is always exempt from criticism let alone political attack. Yet Israeli attacks Lebanon, Egypt (Sinai Peninsula) and the Palestinians.

In the face of barbaric brute force, the Palestinians have fought intransigently (despite some sell-outs by their leadership). Their reward is the current Palestinian mini-state minus Jerusalem and settler camps. This however is not enough. Israel can only exist at the expense of the Palestinians. Its survival requires continual war. Smash Israel!

Communist Left gives military support to any Palestinian grouping at war with Israel. Of course we acknowledge that the politics of PFLP etc are not supportable. It is the task of communists to organise for revolution and we fight for lines of class principle within the resistance. Of course we reject the Islamic religion. Only under the dictatorship of the proletariat will the Palestinian and Jewish question be fully satisfied.

Some argue that because Jews are important for the revolution the national question should be ignored or alternatively they call for a bi-national state. The Spartacist League has even gone so far as to argue that all nationalism is "reactionary and genocidal"

The Jews already have their bourgeois democratic national rights satisfied — with the assistance of imperialism. This has however, been achieved at the expense of the Palestinian people. There is the possibility of proletarian unity between Jews and Arabs. But only if Jews acknowledge the just cause of the Palestinian people and their right to self-determination. Any military struggle against the Israeli state must be fully supported as just uprising against imperialism.

SMASH ISRAEL! There will be no peace until the reactionary imperialist sponsored state is overthrown!

For a socialist united states of the Middle East!

### **Australia: One hundred years of the reactionary constitution**

Next year, 2001 will mark the centenary of the Australian Constitution which established the Australian federation. There is no denying the cleverness of the imperialist ruling class in legally tying up their interests. The Constitution made every state equal. Tiny Tasmania is on an equal footing with the relatively mighty New South Wales and Victoria. Not only do the people live in the larger states they are also the most proletarian. Giving small states the same power as larger ones was a constitutional guarantee that the larger states (and therefore the working class) would not get too much power.

In class terms Marxists know that small farmers are attracted to the most powerful class. The constitution has facilitated their gravitation towards imperialism. Especially when the supposed representatives of the working class are puny and weak. States rights movements in Western Australia and Queensland have been backed by imperialists and based on small farmers. It is no accident that the Governments of Joh Bjelke-Petersen in Queensland and Sir Charles Court in Western Australia have been the most friendly towards imperialist interests.

The Constitution established a Federal Government. The democratically elected House of Representatives is the lower House. Even with regards this house electorates vary in size and therefore some voters are more powerful than others. This House is policed by the upper house, the Senate. The Senate represents not people but states. Tiny Tasmania has as many seats as New South

Wales and Victoria. The deliberate aim is to make it extremely difficult for any reforming party to govern.

The Senate can block supply making government impossible.

As is well known, the head of the Australian state is the Queen (or King) of England. Her representative is the appointed Governor-General (generally appointed by the government). The Queen is head of the armed forces and can dismiss parliament at her will.

She is unlikely to do this except "in an emergency". But "an emergency" is when imperialist or capitalist profits are threatened. If this only happens once then it is one time too many. The queen is a reactionary Tory (despite pretence at political neutrality). Her position is hereditary She is the richest women in the world and one of the richest people. The quicker she is got ridden of the better.

By imposing an undemocratic constitution, the imperialists have manipulated Australia so that it doesn't have a effective government. Australia's "centenary as a nation" is nothing to celebrate. The Constitution is a reactionary certificate of imperialist domination. It must be smashed

The purpose of the constitution is to maintain imperialist domination. The only way to overthrow that domination is a revolution. Consistent democracy will only be achieve in this country by the dictatorship of the proletariat. To get a consistent republic we must expropriate the bourgeoisie and establish a socialist republic.

### **Support the Shorter Working Week Action Committee!**

World-wide Shorter Working Week Action Committee meets in Sydney 6 pm

second Monday of every month Transport Club, Regent Street

Chippendale (near Railway Square) and second

Wednesday of the month in Wollongong The Shorter Working Week Committee is a united front of organisations and individuals with an orientation to the labour movement committed to a shorter working week without loss of pay or conditions.

### **AMWU. Reactionary protectionist campaign for Aussie jobs.**

The Australian Manufacturing Workers Union has admitted being electorally slack. For the past few elections it has failed to intervene against economic rationalist policies of both Labor and Liberal. They have decided to make up for lost ground. They have launched their "Fair trade not free trade" campaign. It doesn't take long for anyone to realise that what they mean by "fair trade" is protection for Aussie jobs.

The AMWU intend to make their campaign national but they have launched their campaign in the Hunter. This year they have had mass meetings in centres such as Singleton, Muswellbrook, Maitland and Cessnock. These culminated in a Newcastle rally on November 15. This was attended by several thousand. More would have turned up if it was held outdoors as planned. Newcastle had pretty miserable weather on that day.

The speakers in Newcastle were Gary Kennedy, Lord Mayor John Tate, Archbishop Roger Herft, Dick Smith (proud capitalist). Sharon Burrow ACTU President Tony Butterfield (Newcastle Knights )Doug Cameron AMWU. The meeting was chaired by Mike Matheson local AMWU organiser. There can be no doubt that the whole of Newcastle supported the rally. A Newcastle based Liberal senator said "When Newcastle speaks, the government listens."

In Newcastle at the moment there are some desperate workers from Steel, Tank and Piper who were left penniless when the company went into liquidation. Newcastle has been hit hard by the crisis of manufacturing and therefore an appropriate place to launch the campaign.

The bureaucrats admit the campaign is not revolutionary. They question whether revolution is a desirable option. Aren't they violent! Actually not necessarily. But to oppose the violence of class struggle and revolution means to support the violence of capitalism. Have they forgotten about two world wars, the destructive bombing of North Vietnam, Libya and Iraq for starters? What about the violence against black people in Australia. People were killed by the counter-revolution in Russia. Relatively few by the revolution. And of course there are people forced to starve due to capitalist economics. The capitalists dump grain while Africans and Asians die. It would spoil the trade system to give away too much food for nothing.

What the bureaucrats hanker for is Australian capitalism of the sixties. During that period industry grew as did employment. And that industry was developed under the umbrella of protection. Bring the protection back and bring the good times back is their message. What it exposes is a simplistic understanding. Australia will not go back to the sixties — even if it were desirable!

Australia traditionally has traditionally had a rural and mining raw materials economy. Australian manufacturing only developed as a significant sector of the economy after the Second World War. Throughout the world during this period there was a growth in the productive forces.

Australia had special needs. The Second World War exposed how vulnerable Australian capitalism was. Australia needed transport. Australia needed population Australia needed to expand its defence capacity. This required people. And people would only come to Australia if there were jobs and social security. After all there was also relative prosperity in Europe also.

The manufacturing which developed after the second world war was not "independent", it was developed by multi-nationals like Ford and General Motors. It was these multi-nationals who demanded high protection. Protection has kept manufacturing in a state of backwardness. Manufacturing in Australia was under-capitalised, under-equipped and suffered from a poor local market. In other words: when the crisis hit it was ripe for collapse.

Protection means handing the bosses more money in the hope that they will keep workers in employment. Many will use the money to improve their efficiency and lay workers off. However, far more serious is the fact that Australian workers should have jobs but not workers in Asia or the Pacific. The bureaucrats will deny that they are racists. They will tell you how they support the struggle for union rights in the Philippines and acted in solidarity with the movement which destroyed South Africa's Apartheid system. Yes, they oppose the blatant racism. But a campaign whose logic is jobs for Australian workers at the expense of workers elsewhere is objectively racist.

The bureaucrats are searching desperately for a progressive Australian capitalist to be part of their campaign. This is difficult. Most support the economic rationalist agenda. But they have found their friend in small time entrepreneur Dick Smith. This disgusting reactionary should have no connection with the workers movement. Dick Smith, when he ran his electronics business was no friend of unions or his workers. He was kicked out of the Civil Aviation Safety Authority when he proposed that pilots be forced to operate on an extra radio frequency. This pilots considered dangerous. Eastern Airline pilots went on strike and Dick Smith was kicked out of CASA.

Dick Smith also has some pretty unsavory allies. Recently he was photographed outside the opening of OZBuy, another Buy Australia venture, shaking hands with former members of One Nation and members of the League of Rights. How close he is is not clear. But he could be an important conducting medium between fascists, semi-fascists and the labour bureaucracy, wittingly or unwittingly.

The labour bureaucrats hate One Nation. But with allies like Smith, One Nation will find a way of cashing in on the patriotic campaign. They are, after all the most consistently protectionist party in Australia. The only way Australia can be consistently protectionist is under fascism. No matter how the bureaucrats condemn One Nation will draw out the fascist logic at least to sections of the middle class and the more chauvinist workers. The bureaucrats campaign is fueling the rise of fascism.

A patriotic economic-nationalist campaign lets the bosses off the hook. Instead of blaming bosses as being part of the system creating unemployment, the bureaucrats are trying to find bosses to crawl to.

For the bureaucrats to get an all class alliance the bosses, it will be at workers expense. The bosses will demand their pound of flesh an attack on wages jobs and conditions. This is history repeating itself. When shipbuilding was under attack in 1976, the bureaucrats had their all class alliance with churches, the Mayor and the Newcastle Chamber of Commerce. It certainly didn't save Newcastle shipping. It meant that the unions sold out an effective class struggle. choosing to crawl to the bosses and government. This led to the Prices and Incomes Accord where workers were sacrificed for government intervention in manufacturing. This intervention never happened.

And it wont happen this time either. This does not mean that the campaign will have no political or social effect. AMWU are lobbying ALP members of parliament who might go against their party. How the ALP will deal with this movement which challenges its policy remains to be seen. There could be token or real political concession depending on what sort of threat the movement poses. At the moment, Beazley is being polite.

Australia, traditionally has been a rural mining economy. The post war boom was an exception, both in terms of Australian capitalism and in terms of world capitalism. The imperialists want Australia to remain a rural, mining resource based economy. And in no way will there be a required growth in the productive forces required to re-establish Australian manufacturing.

The bureaucrats are living in a sixties pipe dream. Unfortunately they are doing a lot of damage to class struggle. There is no solution to the basic problems of working people and the poor under this system. Yet the bureaucrats want to tie us to it!

To defend jobs we must act internationally, in solidarity with our class comrades in Asia and the Pacific. The way to stop bosses acting internationally playing off workers of one country against workers of the other is to act internationally our selves.

The Australian boss is not our friend but our enemy. Uniting with him is a barrier to international unity required to defend jobs and fight the system.

Class conscious workers will reject this reactionary economic nationalist mobilisation by the trade union bureaucracy —outright!

### **Spartacist League and September 11 World Economic Forum protests**

The protests in Melbourne against the World Economic forum were militant and impressive. And most left organisations have been trying to cash in on the action. They have been hailed as “the struggle against international finance capital”. Well there is a massive gulf between disrupting a conference and fighting the forces of capital. The left also has been oblivious to the class nature of the demos. Ferals, students, solidarity activists etc are not the forces who will beat the system internationally even though under some circumstances their militancy might be a spring board. The ISO have hailed a victory. Part of the victory, they claim was the participation of unionists in the protest on September 12. This march and rally which finished fifty meters from the Casino studiously avoided joining in any disruption even though some groups and individuals participated.

In no way could the WEF protesters be considered a working class protest. And they made no serious attempt to win the marching unionists over. No grouping present nor the organisers in general handed out any publication explaining why disruption of WEF was in working people’s interest. One can understand Anarchists believing that their gang could beat the state given sufficient militancy. Marxists are supposed to know better.

One organisation which didn’t cash in on the action was the Spartacist League. SL member attended rallies to sell literature and to promote their meeting which called for workers defence against racist cop attacks against Black people. Of course to get such defence is an honourable objective. But for Spartacist it is counterposed to doing anything about WEF,

For the Spartacist League doing anything about the Forum, meant a sellout to protectionism. “The main enemy is at home.” They pointed out that most of ‘opposition to globalisation’ played into the hands of protectionism if it wasn’t directly protectionism itself. They also argued that the main enemy was the Australian state. They pointed out the demonstrators did not raise the fact that Australian troops were in Timor and were also critical of their refusal to raise the defence of China from imperialism.

Well in the case of protectionism they do have a point. Many of the “opponents of globalisation” do endorse protectionism. Others scapegoat bad capitalists such as Nike and effectively legitimise the system itself. But the Spartacists are wrong. Just because the politics of the current protesters are bad doesn’t mean we should mobilise against a carnival of imperialist reaction — from a revolutionist point of view.

The simple answer to Spartacist arguments about “main enemy is at home”. is to point out that the Australian bourgeoisie were party to the conspiracy which that Forum represented — to attack the wages conditions and jobs of workers in semicolonial countries and impose reactionary laws and regimes to impose their dictates. That Forum would facilitate Australian control over PNG. It would also facilitate the penetration of foreign capital into China and Vietnam. The forum was very much part of international finance capital’s bid to undermine what’s left of the post capitalist states.

Asian and Pacific workers have every right to ask” Multinationals and imperialists are preparing to undermine even the meagre earnings I still have, what are the Australian working class doing about it?” Well nothing! The ferals protested and disrupted (at least they did something) the working class did nothing. This was the fault of the radical tailenders. but it was also the fault of the Spartacists. The WEF could have been a very useful vehicle for educating workers on how their interests lie in unity with Asian workers, The WEF was only a conference. But actions against it could have developed into a serious workers movement for international solidarity.

The Spartacist League deserve credit for opposing protection. The rest failed on this issue. But when there is a carnival of reaction conspiring to undermine workers internationally, workers have a duty to act from an internationalist perspective. The Australian workers movement failed. And so did the Spartacist League.

### **50 issues of RED a balance sheet**

Since 1988 RED has come out as a quarterly bulletin. And this is issue number fifty!. We thought it appropriate to give an overview of the record of our bulletin.

RED is a revolutionary bulletin. This means that not only do we advocate a revolution as necessary for the establishment of a new society based on ownership of the means of production by ordinary people — the proletariat — we see that a revolution is necessary in order to satisfy our basic needs today. The Transitional Programme: the death agony of capitalism, made a link between today’s needs and revolutionary overthrow of capitalism. Today we see reformism giving up even the pretext of minor reforms and joining the attack on working people. Socialism, even for the so-called Labor left is totally off the agenda. In Britain, New Zealand and Australia “Labour” Parties have been in the forefront of bosses attacks. Today Beazley supporters attack Howard for “economic rationalism” but in New Zealand, it was Labour who began an offensive even more vicious than Thatcher’s in Britain. RED calls for an alternative to Labor based on revolutionary principle. Jumping on the Labour bandwagon means accepting capitalist austerity.

RED has analysed the degeneration of reformism. RED has analysed the rise of Kinnock and ultimately Blair in Britain. These outright reactionaries have been victorious because of the failings of the Labour Left (notably Tony Benn. We have analysed the rise of Rogemomics in New Zealand. In Australia we have analysed the failings of Whitlam. These failings led to Laborites pushing a Prices and Incomes Accord (a few tit-bits in exchange for unions selling out wages and conditions).

It is fashionable to glorify the Whitlam years when reformism appeared more idealistic. Apart from the fact that Whitlam’s modernising schemas didn’t work and were ripe for collapse on the onset of the economic crisis — they involved a wholesale attack on working people. Whitlam was always blatant about his aim to shackle the unions. Whitlam is being exposed for selling out Timor. He also

sold out the people of Bougainville. And he sold out working people in Papua/New Guinea by imposing on them, a comprador elite committed to maintaining imperialist domination and the privileges of a white elite (businessmen and plantation owners). As well as this, in Australia the offensive against working people, continued by Fraser was begun by Whitlam.

RED is an internationalist bulletin. To understand Australia we have to see this country as an integral part of the world imperialist, capitalist system. We are in a period of counter-revolution. We have seen the total collapse of the Soviet Union and post capitalist states in

Indeed been a painful process, the new rulers of Russia Ukraine, Poland, the Czech Republic and elsewhere the counter-revolution continues. Recently the New York Times reported that in Azerbaijan the official percentage of workers unemployed was 50%, the real figure much higher and that many employed workers had not been regularly paid!

RED has given a Marxist analysis of stalinism. The theory of "socialism in one country" of J Stalin now is relegated to the dustbin of history. In countries such China, Vietnam, Kampuchea North Korea, Yugoslavia and Albania we have shown that the stalinist strategy of liquidating workers into the peasantry behind a nationalist banner has led to gross bureaucratic degeneration and nationalist deformations.

There is much talk about the "death of stalinism" due to the demise of the soviet Union and the rabid right turn of the Chinese bureaucracy. Unfortunately stalinism still lives as a political methodology. For starters, many who see that the turn to capitalism in Russia and elsewhere means austerity, hanker for the better years of yesterday. Others merely carried on after the collapse, pursuing their same counter-revolutionary methodology within the workers movement irrespective of any allegiance to a communist party. The fight against Stalinism is not dead.

Red has analysed both the degeneration of the stalinist states and the stalinist parties in Australia and elsewhere. We have exposed the current Communist Party's claim to be a "continuation of orthodox Marxism- Leninism" although it is at least raising the banner. The communist Party (Aarons from which it broke) and bureaucratic breakaways such as Association for communist Unity are liquidated beyond recognition. RED has analysed the decay of the Aarons CPA and ACU as well.

Stalinism has been exposed But unfortunately Trotskyism (or rather the proclaimed Trotskyists have been unable to take up the banner in a credible way. This is because of degeneration and liquidation amongst self proclaimed Trotskyists. The United Secretariat version liquidated into the Chinese Vietnamese, Yugoslav and Cuban variants. They liquidated the fundamental class line separating stalinists and Trotskyists. In Australia the SWP now DSP have liquidated so significantly that they have dumped even formally the banner of Trotsky. They have adopted Stalinist slanders "sectarianism towards the peasantry' etc..

Amongst the Trotskyist movement there has been a struggle against the United Secretariat's Mandeliste liquidation. However what has been counterposed has been different forms of liquidation. The So-called International committee (led by the British Socialist Labour League) liquidated first into the Labor Party (calling for a Labour Party pledged to socialist policies). After proclaiming themselves independent (the workers Revolutionary Party) proceeded to make rotten deals for cash with Arab regimes for, selling out any pretext of calling for independent proletarian politics in those countries.



The British SLL's initial partners the French CCI are malignantly chauvinist. Others such as the International socialists and Workers Power counterpose trade union struggle.

RED has made an overall Marxist analysis of the degeneration of Trotskyism. There is also specific analysis of the Spartacist League, the degeneration of Healyism, the Northite Socialist Equality Party and Workers Power. The latter was necessitated by Communist Left New Zealand joining their international tendency League for a Revolutionary Communist International.

Since its inception RED has raised many international issues. Some are of interest because they further our understanding of class struggle internationally. Those who can see through only Australian eyes often see only defeat and pessimism. By learning about Bolivia, for example, we not only know that elsewhere things are not quite so bad, we can gain from their experiences and help the revolution — in Australia.

However many more international issues are far more serious. To fight the Australian bourgeoisie in Australia, we must fight both Australian imperialism in South East Asia and when Australian ruling class acts as an accomplice of US imperialism. Australia is both a mini-imperialist power and a colony. In exchange for being dominated, Australia has been given its small sphere of influence in South East Asia and the South Pacific.

The Australian bourgeoisie have been willing participants in US imperialism's wars especially against Iraq. RED has actively opposed all Australian imperialism and participation with US imperialism. Events in Indonesia East Timor, Fiji, Papua, New Guinea, Bougainville and New Zealand are important to us here because Australian bourgeoisie has influence in those countries.

RED opposed any Australian participation in the US initiated imperialist war with Iraq. We not only opposed but urged working class action against the imperialist war drive. We opposed any illusion that imperialism could be defeated by bourgeois public opinion. We urged a workers united front against the war. Our military defence of Iraq was unconditional. In fact their bid to remove the

Kuwait statelet was progressive. Of course, we stressed, that workers in Iraq could have no faith in Saddam Hussain. But the only force that we entrust to remove Saddam is the Iraqi working class. Imperialism can play no progressive role what so ever. And that includes defending the Kurds.

RED has consistently given analysis on the war on Bougainville as a vital issue facing Australian working people. Bougainville appears to many as an internal law and order issue for Papua New Guinea or alternatively a human rights issue. Bougainville is an imperialist war. Australia bears full responsibility for the fact that Bougainville was incorporated into PNG against the wishes of the people. They have backed the PNG Government to the hilt in defending reactionary multinational Conzinc Riotinto against poor villagers deprived of a living by CRA polluting mine. For us Bougainville was a significant political question for working people in this country. When the Australian government is at war, directly or indirectly, Australian working people must act. For others such as ISO Bougainville was only raised when it was fashionable within middle class opinion.

Australia has two internally colonised people; the Torres Strait Islanders and the Black people (Kooris, Murris, Nungas etc) The first issue of RED demanded Self determination for the Torres Strait Islanders as its heading. During Australia's bicentenary (a carnival of reaction) the Torres Uniting Party was demanding that right for the Torres Strait people. We fully back them on that score.

RED has had many articles about the Black question. We support real self-determination and not phony and nebulous “reconciliation”. We have exposed the limitations of Mabo. Only minor gains can be achieved from common law. Whilst we defend these gains, to confine the movement to them is a serious diversion. We have fought to defend the Redfern block from racist attacks and the police. We have urged workers defence against racist police attacks and to defend Redfern.

RED has analysed the structure of Australian capitalism. We have analysed its political structure including the Queen’s role as head of state, the Federal structure of the constitution and the role of the states. We have attacked its undemocratic nature, even within the context of bourgeois democracy. We have analysed the different class characteristic of each state.

We analysed the rise of Pauline Hanson in Queensland. We showed how Hanson’s rise was linked to Queensland small farmers, suffering from severe attacks such as privatisation (implemented by a Labor Government) gravitated to fascism due to the politically weak nature of the workers movement.

RED has analysed privatisation and the collapse of the public sector.

RED has analysed economic restructuring and unemployment, the attacks on the unemployed such as Work for the dole and Newstart.

For us unemployment and the unemployed are a serious question facing the working class. Unemployed people are forced to live well below the poverty line. And even this meagre subsistence is under attack. Work for the dole is an insult. It means more austerity. It means that unemployed people are used to undermine hard fought for wages and conditions of trade unionists. RED has supported unemployed unions and urged that they be organised on a militant class-struggle basis To unite workers and unemployed we have supported a shorter working week without loss of pay. We want the working week to be continually reduced until everyone has been employed. RED has reported and analysed the international movement for a shorter working week. RED supporters have been active in World Wide Shorter Working Week Committee in Sydney.

RED has provided unique analysis on the economic strategy of John Cain Labor in Victoria and its collapse. We have also analysed the rise and fall of Kennett

RED has analysed the law and order offensive of the Carr Labor Government in New South Wales.

RED has also analysed issues such as women’s rights and gay rights. These are important political questions. Women and Gays are the most exploited and the most oppressed. The ruling class uses sexism and heterosexism to maintain its hegemony over working people (especially straight men).

RED has supported women’s right to child care and free abortion on demand. We have supported the socialisation of child care and housework.

Many other issues have been covered. But these are only first steps. RED has a project —the restoration of communism, revolutionary communism as a living force within the workers movement in Australia and throughout the world. And we will not be content until that objective has been achieved. This means a fifth communist international.

Our aim is world socialist revolution

RED is publication of the Communist Left of Australia

P.O. Box 119 Erskineville 2043 Australia